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#### Abstract

We prove several residual bounds for relative perturbations of the eigenvalues of indefinite Hermitian matrix. The bounds fall into two categories - the Weyl-type bounds and the Hofmann-Wielandt-type bounds. The bounds are expressed in terms of sines of acute principal angles between certain subspaces associated with the indefinite decomposition of the given matrix. The bounds are never worse than the classical residual bounds and can be much sharper in some cases. The bounds generalize the existing relative residual bounds for positive definite matrices to indefinite case.


## 1 Introduction

Let $H \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times n}$ be a Hermitian matrix, $X \in \mathbf{C}^{n \times m}$ be an orthonormal matrix, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=X^{*} H X, \quad R=H X-X M, \quad \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{R}(X) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{1} \geq \ldots \geq \mu_{m} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the eigenvalues of $H$ and $M$, respectively.

[^0]The eigenvalues of $M$ are sometimes called Ritz values or Rayleigh-Ritz approximations of the eigenvalues of $H$. Ritz values are optimal in the sense that $\|R\|$ is minimized for $M=X^{*} H X$, that is, if we replace $M$ by another matrix $C$ we can only increase the spectral norm of $R$,

$$
\|R\|=\|H X-X M\| \leq\|H X-X C\|
$$

for all matrices $C$ of order $m$ (see [11, Theorem 1.15.IV] or [5, Theorem 11-4-5]). Moreover, one can always find $m$ eigenvalues of $H$ that are within absolute distance $\|R\|$ of the Ritz values [5, Theorem 11-5-1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{1 \leq j \leq m}\left|\lambda_{\tau(j)}-\mu_{j}\right| \leq\|R\| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some permutation $\tau$. There is a similar residual bound given in the Frobenius norm [11, Corollary 4.15.IV]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\sum_{j}\left(\lambda_{\tau(j)}-\mu_{j}\right)^{2}} \leq\|R\|_{F} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above bounds measure absolute distance between eigenvalues, thus they belong to classical or absolute perturbation theory.

Drmač [1, Theorem 6] derived a relative residual error bound for positive definite Hermitian matrix $H=L L^{*}$ of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\lambda_{\tau(j)}-\mu_{j}\right|}{\left|\lambda_{\tau(j)}\right|} \leq \frac{\sin \psi}{1-\sin \psi} \quad j=1, \ldots, m \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi$ is the maximal acute principal angle between $\mathcal{R}\left(L^{*} \mathcal{X}\right)$ and $\mathcal{R}\left(L^{-1} \mathcal{X}\right)$.
We present two relative residual bounds for the eigenvalues of indefinite Hermitian matrices. The first one is similar to (5) and represents the relative version of the Weyl-type residual bound (3). The second one is the relative version of the Hofmann-Wielandt type residual bound (4).

The paper is organized as follows: in the next section we give some preliminary results, in Section 3 we prove our relative residual bounds, in Section 4 we discuss some differences between the positive definite and the indefinite case, and in Section 5 we give an numerical example.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we present some definitions and auxiliary results on the Hermitian eigenvalue problem, Hermitian indefinite decomposition and subspaces and angles between them.

Let $H$ be indefinite Hermitian matrix and let $H=U \Lambda U^{*}$ be its eigenvalue decomposition. The spectral absolute value of $H$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|H|_{S}=U|\Lambda| U^{*}=\sqrt{H^{2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H=L J L^{*}$ be the indefinite Hermitian decomposition of $H$ where $L$ is non-singular and $J$ is diagonal with $\pm 1$ on its diagonal such that $J_{i i}=$ $\operatorname{sign}\left(\Lambda_{i i}\right)$ (see e.g. [8] for more details). The eigenvalue problem for $H$ is closely related to the hyperbolic eigenvalue problem for the pair $\left(L^{*} L, J\right)$ (see e.g. [10]) - there exists non-singular $J$-orthogonal matrix $V$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{*} L^{*} L V=|\Lambda|, \quad V^{*} J V=J \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By inverting $V^{*} J V=J$ we have $V J V^{*}=J$. From $V^{*} J=J V^{-1}$ we have ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|V\|=\left\|V^{-1}\right\| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for the spectral condition number of $V$ we have

$$
\kappa(V)=\|V\|\left\|V^{-1}\right\|=\|V\|^{2} .
$$

Further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=L V|\Lambda|^{-1 / 2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed,

$$
U^{*} H U=|\Lambda|^{-1 / 2} V^{*} L^{*} L V J V^{*} L^{*} L V|\Lambda|^{-1 / 2}=|\Lambda|^{-1 / 2}|\Lambda| J|\Lambda||\Lambda|^{-1 / 2}=\Lambda .
$$

From (9) and (6) we also have

$$
|H|_{S}=V L L^{*} V^{*}
$$

Let

$$
Y_{L}=J L^{*} X, \quad Z_{L}=L^{-1} X
$$

[^1]Let $P_{(\cdot)}$ denote the orthogonal projector onto the indicated subspace and let $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{R}(X)$ denote the column space of $X$.

Let $Y=L^{*} X$ and $Z=L^{-1} X$. It is easy to show that

$$
\left(P_{\mathcal{Z}} P_{\mathcal{Y}}\right)^{\ddagger}=Y Z^{*}
$$

(for the proof see [1, Proof of Theorem 3]). Using this and the fact that in the indefinite case we can write $Y_{L}=J Y$ and $Z_{L}=Z$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}} J P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(Z_{L} Z_{L}^{\dagger} J Y_{L} Y_{L}^{\dagger}\right)^{\dagger}=\left(Z Z^{\dagger} Y Y^{\dagger} J\right)^{\dagger}=J\left(Z Z^{\dagger} Y Y^{\dagger}\right)^{\dagger}=Y_{L} Z_{L}^{*} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall use the angle function $\angle(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ between arbitrary subspaces $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ defined by (see [15]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\angle(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})=\sin ^{-1} \min \left\{\left\|\left(I-P_{\mathcal{Z}}\right) P_{\mathcal{Y}}\right\|,\left\|\left(I-P_{\mathcal{Y}}\right) P_{\mathcal{Z}}\right\|\right\} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we shall need the following representation of the pair of orthogonal projectors $P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, also due to Wedin [15].

Theorem 1 (Wedin) Let $\mathcal{Y}_{L}, \mathcal{Z}_{L}$ be subspaces in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Assume that
(a) $\operatorname{rank}\left(P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}} P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}\right)=k+l$
(b) $P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}} P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}$ has $k$ singular values equal to one.

Then there exist an orthogonal basis in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ with respect to which $P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}$ can be represented by block diagonal matrices $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, respectively, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
I_{k} & & \\
& \oplus_{i}^{l} \Phi_{i}\left(P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}}\right) & \\
& \Delta_{P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}}}
\end{array}\right], & P_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
I_{k} & & \\
& \oplus_{i}^{l} \Psi_{i}\left(P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}\right) & \\
& & \Delta_{P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}}
\end{array}\right], \\
\Phi_{i}\left(P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad & \Psi_{i}\left(P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos ^{2} \theta_{i} & \cos \theta_{i} \sin \theta_{i} \\
\cos \theta_{i} \sin \theta_{i} & \sin ^{2} \theta_{i}
\end{array}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Here $I_{k}$ is the $k \times k$ identity matrix, $\Delta_{P_{y_{L}}}, \Delta_{P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}}$ are diagonal matrices with entries from $\{0,1\}$ and $\Delta_{P_{y_{L}}} \Delta_{P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}}=0$. The numbers

$$
0<\theta_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \theta_{l}<\pi / 2
$$

are the acute principal angles between $\mathcal{Y}_{L}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{L}$.

## 3 Residual bounds

First we prove the Weyl-type relative residual bound for the eigenvalues of non-singular indefinite Hermitian matrix $H$.

Theorem 2 Let $H=L J L^{*}$, where $L$ and $J$ are non-singular and $J$ is diagonal with $\pm 1$ on its diagonal and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta H=R X^{*}+X R^{*}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is an $n \times m$ orthonormal matrix. Then there are at least $m$ eigenvalues $\lambda_{i_{k}}, k=1, \ldots, m$, of $H$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}-\mu_{k}\right|}{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}\right|} \leq \kappa(V)\left\|L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*}\right\|, \quad k=1, \ldots, m \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $V$ is $J$-unitary matrix which diagonalizes the pair $\left(L^{*} L, J\right)$ as in (7).
Proof. First, notice that the Hermitian matrix $\widetilde{H}=H-\delta H$ has $\mathcal{X}$ as an invariant subspace. This means that the eigenvalues of $M$ coincide with at least $m$ eigenvalues of $\widetilde{H}$. By applying a result of Veselić and Slapničar [14, Theorem 2.1] we know that if

$$
\left|x^{*} \delta H x\right| \leq \eta x^{*}|H|_{S} x, \quad \forall x, \quad \eta<1,
$$

then

$$
1-\eta \leq \frac{\widetilde{\lambda}_{i}}{\lambda_{i}} \leq 1+\eta
$$

By (8) we have $\kappa(V)=\|V\|\left\|V^{-1}\right\|=\left\|V^{-1}\right\|^{2}$. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x^{*} \delta H x\right| & =\left|x^{*} L L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*} L^{*} x\right| \leq\left\|L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*}\right\| x^{*} L L^{*} x \\
& \leq\left\|L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*}\right\| x^{*} L V V^{-1} V^{-*} V^{*} L^{*} x \\
& \leq\left\|L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*}\right\| \kappa(V) x^{*}|H|_{S} x
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that there are at least $m$ eigenvalues $\lambda_{i_{k}}$ of $H$ such that (13) holds.

Now we need to bound $\left\|L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*}\right\|$. Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*}= & L^{-1}\left[(H X-X M) X^{*}+X\left(H X^{*}-X^{*} M\right)\right] L^{-*} \\
= & J L^{*} X X^{*} L^{-*}-L^{-1} X X^{*} L J L^{*} X X^{*} L^{-*} \\
& +L^{-1} X X^{*} L J-L^{-1} X X^{*} L J L^{*} X X^{*} L^{-*} \\
= & \left(I-Z_{L} Y_{L}^{*} J\right) Y_{L} Z_{L}^{*}+Z_{L} Y_{L}^{*}\left(I-J Y_{L} Z_{L}^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*}= & {\left[I-\left(P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}} J P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}\right)^{\dagger} J\right]\left(P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}} J P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}}\right)^{\dagger} }  \tag{14}\\
& +\left(P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}} J P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}\right)^{\dagger}\left[I-J\left(P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}} J P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}}\right)^{\dagger}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The following remark is due to Drmač [1, Remark 8].
Remark 1 Using canonical representation of the pair $P_{\mathcal{Y}_{L}}$ and $P_{\mathcal{Z}_{L}}$ we can see that in a suitable chosen orthonormal basis the matrix $L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*}$ is block diagonal with diagonal blocks of the form

$$
\Gamma_{i}= \pm\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \tan \psi_{i} \\
\tan \psi_{i} & 2 \tan ^{2} \psi_{i}
\end{array}\right], \quad\left\|\Gamma_{i}\right\|=\frac{\sin \psi_{i}}{1-\sin \psi_{i}}
$$

with acute principal angles $\psi_{i}$ between $\mathcal{Y}_{L}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{L}$.
Now we can formulate Theorem 2 in terms of acute principal angles.
Theorem 3 Let $H=L J L^{*}$, where $L$ and $J$ are non-singular and $J$ is diagonal with $\pm 1$ on its diagonal. Let

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{L}=J L^{*} \mathcal{X}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{L}=L^{-1} \mathcal{X}
$$

and let $\psi$ be the maximal acute principal angle between $\mathcal{Y}_{L}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{L}$. Then there are at least $m$ eigenvalues $\lambda_{i_{k}}, k=1, \ldots, m$, of $H$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}-\mu_{k}\right|}{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}\right|} \leq \kappa(V) \frac{\sin \psi}{1-\sin \psi}, \quad k=1, \ldots, m \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that right hand side in (15) is less than one. Here $V$ is a $J$-unitary matrix which diagonalizes the pair $\left(L^{*} L, J\right)$ as in (7).

Proof. From Theorem 2 it follows that there are at least $m$ eigenvalues $\lambda_{i_{k}}$ of $H$ such that (13) holds. This, together with Remark 1, yields (15).

In order to prove our second result, a Hofmann-Wielandt type relative residual bound, we need some results on doubly stochastic matrices. A real $n \times n$ matrix $Y$ is doubly stochastic if $Y_{i j} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i k}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{k i}=1$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, n$. By Birkhoff's theorem [3, Theorem 8.7.1], a matrix is doubly stochastic if and only if it lies in the convex hull of all permutation matrices. This result has lead to the following lemma by R.-C. Li [6, Lemma 5.1].

Lemma 1 ( $\mathbf{L i}$ ) Let $Y$ be a $n \times n$ doubly stochastic matrix, and let $M$ be a $n \times n$ complex matrix. Then there exists a permutation $\tau$ of $\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|M_{i j}\right|^{2} Y_{i j} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|M_{i \tau(i)}\right|^{2}
$$

The following theorem gives Hofmann-Wielandt type relative residual bound for nonsingular indefinite Hermitian matrix.

Theorem 4 Let $H=L J L^{*}$, where $L$ and $J$ are non-singular and $J$ is diagonal with $\pm \underset{\sim}{1}$ on its diagonal. Let $\widetilde{H}=H-\delta H$, where $\delta H$ is defined by (12), and let $\widetilde{H}$ be decomposed as $\widetilde{H}=\widetilde{L} J \widetilde{L}^{*}$. Set $N=-L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*}$. Then there are at least $m$ eigenvalues $\lambda_{i_{k}}, k=1, \ldots, m$, of $H$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}-\mu_{k}\right|}{\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}\right|\left|\mu_{k}\right|}}\right)^{2}} \leq\|V\|_{F}\|\tilde{V}\| \frac{\|N\|}{\sqrt{1-\|N\|}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the right hand side in (16) is positive. Here $V$ and $\widetilde{V}$ are $J$-unitary matrices which simultaneously diagonalize the pairs $\left(L^{*} L, J\right)$ and $(\widetilde{L} * \widetilde{L}, J)$ as in (7), respectively.

Proof. The assumption on the positivity of the right hand side in (16) implies $\|N\|<1$ so the matrices $H=L J L^{*}, J+N$ and $\widetilde{H}=L(J+N) L^{*}$ all have the same inertia defined by $J$. Therefore, the eigenvalue decompositions of $H$ and $\widetilde{H}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=U|\Lambda|^{1 / 2} J|\Lambda|^{1 / 2} U^{*}, \quad \widetilde{H}=\widetilde{U}|\widetilde{\Lambda}|^{1 / 2} J|\widetilde{\Lambda}|^{1 / 2} \widetilde{U}^{*} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(I+N J)^{1 / 2}=J\left[(I+N J)^{1 / 2}\right]^{*} J$, the matrix $J+N$ can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J+N=(I+N J)^{1 / 2} J\left[(I+N J)^{1 / 2}\right]^{*} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we can write $\widetilde{H}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{H}=L(I+N J)^{1 / 2} J\left[(I+N J)^{1 / 2}\right]^{*} L^{*} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, (9) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=U|\Lambda|^{1 / 2} V^{-1} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, (17), (19) and (9) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{L} \equiv L(I+N J)^{1 / 2}=\widetilde{U}|\widetilde{\Lambda}|^{1 / 2} \widetilde{V}^{-1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (19) and (18) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{H}-H & =L(I+N J)^{1 / 2} J\left[(I+N J)^{1 / 2}\right]^{*} L^{*}-L J L^{*} \\
& =L(I+N J)^{1 / 2} \Xi L^{*}, \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi=J\left[(I+N J)^{1 / 2}\right]^{*}-(I+N J)^{-1 / 2} J=(I+N J)^{-1 / 2} N \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pre- and post-multiplication of (22) by $\widetilde{U}$ and $U$, respectively, together with eigenvalue decompositions (17), and relations (20) and (21), gives

$$
\widetilde{\Lambda} \widetilde{U}^{*} U-\widetilde{U}^{*} U \Lambda=|\widetilde{\Lambda}|^{1 / 2} \widetilde{V}^{-1} \Xi V^{-*}|\Lambda|^{1 / 2}
$$

By interpreting this equality component-wise we have

$$
\frac{\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q q}-\Lambda_{p p}}{\sqrt{\left|\widetilde{\Lambda}_{q q}\right|\left|\Lambda_{p p}\right|}} S_{q p}=\left[\widetilde{V}^{-1} \Xi V^{-*}\right]_{q p}
$$

where $S=\widetilde{U}^{*} U$ and $p, q \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$. By taking the Frobenius norm we have

$$
\sum_{p, q=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\left|\tilde{\lambda}_{p}-\lambda_{q}\right|}{\sqrt{\left|\widetilde{\lambda_{p}}\right|\left|\lambda_{q}\right|}}\right)^{2}\left|S_{p q}\right|^{2}=\left\|\widetilde{V}^{-1} \Xi V^{-*}\right\|_{F}^{2}
$$

Since $\left(\left|S_{p q}\right|^{2}\right)$ is a doubly stochastic matrix, by applying Lemma 1 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\left|\tilde{\lambda}_{p}-\lambda_{\tau(p)}\right|}{\sqrt{\left|\widetilde{\lambda}_{p}\right|\left|\lambda_{\tau(p)}\right|}}\right)^{2} \leq\left\|\widetilde{V}^{-1} \Xi V^{-*}\right\|_{F}^{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some permutation $\tau$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{V}^{-1} \Xi V^{-*}\right\|_{F} \leq\|\widetilde{V}\|\|V\|_{F}\|\Xi\| . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relation (23) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Xi\| \leq\left\|(I+J N)^{-1 / 2}\right\|\|N\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\|N\|}}\|N\| \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\delta H$ is such that the matrix $\widetilde{H}$ has $\mathcal{X}$ as its invariant subspace. Thus, there are there are at least $m$ eigenvalues $\lambda_{i_{k}}, k=1, \ldots, m$, of $H$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}-\mu_{k}\right|}{\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}\right|\left|\mu_{k}\right|}}\right)^{2} \leq \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\left|\tilde{\lambda}_{p}-\lambda_{\tau(p)}\right|}{\sqrt{\left|\tilde{\lambda}_{p}\right|\left|\lambda_{\tau(p)}\right|}}\right)^{2}
$$

The theorem follows by combining this with (24), (25) and (23).
Now we can formulate Theorem 4 in terms of acute principal angles.
Theorem 5 Assume the notation of Theorem 4. Let

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{L}=J L^{*} \mathcal{X}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{L}=L^{-1} \mathcal{X}
$$

and let $\psi$ be the maximal acute principal angle between $\mathcal{Y}_{L}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{L}$. Then there are at least $m$ eigenvalues $\lambda_{i_{k}}, k=1, \ldots, m$, of $H$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}-\mu_{k}\right|}{\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}\right|\left|\mu_{k}\right|}}\right)^{2}} \leq\|V\|_{F}\|\tilde{V}\| \frac{\|\sin \psi\|}{\sqrt{(1-\sin \psi)(1-2 \sin \psi)}}, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the right hand side in (27) is positive.

Proof. The proof follows from $\|N\|=\left\|L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*}\right\|$, Remark 1 and (16).
If we wish to avoid existence of unperturbed and perturbed quantities $V$ and $\widetilde{V}$, respectively, on the right-hand side of (16) and (27), we need to bound $\|\widetilde{V}\|$ in terms of $\|V\|$. For this purpose we need the following theorem by the authors [10, Theorem 5].

Theorem 6 Let $\widetilde{L}=L(I+E)$ let $V$ and $\widetilde{V}$ be nonsingular J-unitary matrices which simultaneously diagonalize the pairs $\left(L^{*} L, J\right)$ and $(\widetilde{L} * \widetilde{L}, J)$ as in (7), respectively. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \equiv \frac{\|E\|_{F}}{1-\|E\|}<\frac{1}{4\|V\|^{2}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\widetilde{V}\| \leq \frac{\|V\|}{\sqrt{1-4 \alpha\|V\|^{2}}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining previous results gives our final theorem.
Theorem 7 Assume the notation of Theorem 4. Let

$$
\mathcal{Y}_{L}=J L^{*} \mathcal{X}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{L}=L^{-1} \mathcal{X}
$$

and let $\psi$ be the maximal acute principal angle between $\mathcal{Y}_{L}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{L}$. Let $N=-L^{-1} \delta H L^{-*}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \equiv \frac{\|N\|_{F}}{2 \sqrt{1-\|N\|}-\|N\|}<\frac{1}{4\|V\|^{2}} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there are at least $m$ eigenvalues $\lambda_{i_{k}}, k=1, \ldots, m$, of $H$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}-\mu_{k}\right|}{\sqrt{\left|\lambda_{i_{k}}\right|\left|\mu_{k}\right|}}\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{\|V\|_{F}\|V\|}{\sqrt{1-4 \beta\|V\|^{2}}} \frac{\|\sin \psi\|}{\sqrt{(1-\sin \psi)(1-2 \sin \psi)}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (21) it follows that

$$
\widetilde{L}=L(I+N J)^{1 / 2}
$$

The assumption $\|N\|<1$ ensures the existence of $(I+N J)^{1 / 2}$ defined by the following series [4, Theorem 6.2.8]

$$
\begin{aligned}
I+E & \equiv(I+N J)^{1 / 2} \\
& =I+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2 n-1)!!}{2^{n} n!}(N J)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $(2 n-1)!!=1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots(2 n-1)$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|E\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|N\|}{\sqrt{1-\|N\|}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the fact that $\beta$ from (30) is the upper bound for $\alpha$ from (28), we can apply bound (29) for $\|\widetilde{V}\|$ with $\beta$ in role of $\alpha$. This together with (27) gives (31).

## 4 Comparison of the positive definite and the indefinite case

First, notice that our bound (15) is a proper generalization of the bound (5) to indefinite Hermitian matrices. Indeed, in the positive definite case the matrix $V$ is orthogonal and the bound (15) is equal to (5).

Further, it is easy to show that in the positive definite case the angle function $\angle\left(\mathcal{Y}_{L}, \mathcal{Z}_{L}\right)$ defined by (11) does not depend on $L$ but only on $H$ (see [2]). However, in indefinite case this is not true in general. If we decompose matrix $H$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=L_{1} J L_{1}=L_{2} J L_{2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we can write

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{Y}_{L_{1}}=J L_{1}^{*} \mathcal{X}, & \mathcal{Z}_{L_{1}}=L_{1}^{-1} \mathcal{X} \\
\mathcal{Y}_{L_{2}}=J L_{2}^{*} \mathcal{X}, & \mathcal{Z}_{L_{2}}=L_{2}^{-1} \mathcal{X}
\end{array}
$$

If $\psi_{i}=\angle\left(\mathcal{Y}_{L_{i}}, \mathcal{Z}_{L_{i}}\right), i=1,2$, it is of our interest to find out is there any connection between the angles $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$.

From (33) it follows that there exists nonsingular $J$-unitary matrix $W$ such that $L_{2}=L_{1} W$. From Remark 1 it follows that

$$
\left\|L_{i}^{-1} \delta H L_{i}^{-*}\right\|=\frac{\sin \psi_{i}}{1-\sin \psi_{i}}, \quad i=1,2
$$

This, together with fact that $L_{2}=L_{1} W$, gives

$$
\frac{\sin \psi_{2}}{1-\sin \psi_{2}} \leq\|W\|^{2} \frac{\sin \psi_{1}}{1-\sin \psi_{1}}
$$

Therefore, for $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ small enough, we have

$$
\sin \psi_{2} \precsim\|W\|^{2} \sin \psi_{1} .
$$

We conclude that if the matrix $W$ has moderate norm and if the subspaces $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are sufficiently close, then the angle functions will be close, too.

The bounds of Section 3 depend on the spectral condition number or the norm of the $J$-unitary matrix $V$ which diagonalizes the pair $\left(L^{*} L, J\right)$. Although these quantities can be large, $\kappa(V)$ is bounded by [9, Theorem 3]:

$$
\kappa(V) \leq \min \sqrt{\kappa\left(\Delta^{*} L^{*} L \Delta\right)}
$$

where the minimum is taken over all matrices which commute with $J$. Appropriate bounds for $\kappa(V)$ exist for some other classes of "well-behaved matrices" such as scaled diagonal dominant matrices, block scaled diagonally dominant (BSDD) matrices and quasi-definite matrices. Details of these bounds can be found in e.g. [13, Section 3.1] and [12].

## 5 Numerical example

Let $H=D^{*}(J+N) D$ be the nonsingular Hermitian matrix with

$$
D=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2 \cdot 10^{4} & 8 \cdot 10^{4} & 0 & 0 \\
2 \cdot 10^{3} & 4 \cdot 10^{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 \\
0 & 0 & 0.6 & 0.8
\end{array}\right], \quad N=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0.08 & 0.01 & 0.03 \\
0.08 & 0.06 & 0.05 & 0.03 \\
0.01 & 0.05 & 0.08 & 0.04 \\
0.03 & 0.03 & 0.04 & 0.04
\end{array}\right]
$$

and $J=\operatorname{diag}(1,1,-1,-1)$. All subsequent quantities are displayed properly rounded to the given number of decimal places. The spectrum of $H$ is

$$
\lambda(H)=\left\{8.9705 \cdot 10^{9}, 4.8108 \cdot 10^{7},-1.9227,-0.11514\right\}
$$

Let

$$
X=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0.19962 & 0 \\
0.97987 & 0 \\
0 & 0.78274 \\
0 & 0.62235
\end{array}\right]
$$

be the orthonormal matrix. From (1) it follows that

$$
M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
8.9705 \cdot 10^{9} & 6.6073 \cdot 10^{3} \\
6.6073 \cdot 10^{3} & -1.9149
\end{array}\right],
$$

with the spectrum

$$
\lambda(M)=\left\{8.9704 \cdot 10^{9},-1.9198\right\}
$$

The residual $R$ is

$$
R=H X-X M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1.7432 \cdot 10^{7} & -352.2 \\
-3.4906 \cdot 10^{6} & 71.795 \\
-172.67 & 1.3242 \cdot 10^{-4} \\
217.16 & -1.6214 \cdot 10^{-4}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $\|R\| \sim 1.8 \cdot 10^{7}$. Therefore, the residual bounds from the classical perturbation theory (3) and (4) are useless. Further, for $\delta H$ from (12) we have $\left\|\delta H H^{-1}\right\|=2.3 \cdot 10^{3}$, so the relative perturbation bounds which use the factor $\left\|\delta H H^{-1}\right\|$, like those from [1, Theorem 3], are also useless.

On the other hand, consider two decompositions of $H: H=L_{1} J L_{1}^{*}$, where $L_{1}$ is obtained using Gaussian elimination, and $H=L_{2} J L_{2}^{*}$, where $L_{2}$ is obtained from the eigenvalue decomposition of the matrix $J+N$. More precisely,

$$
L_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
2.0264 \cdot 10^{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
8.6931 \cdot 10^{4} & 3.2418 \cdot 10^{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0.03435 & 0.0609 & -1.1057 & 0 \\
0.03346 & 0.04231 & -0.79638 & -0.42555
\end{array}\right]
$$

and

$$
L_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1.3743 \cdot 10^{4} & 1.4896 \cdot 10^{4} & 2.7393 \cdot 10^{2} & -2.0233 \cdot 10^{2} \\
8.2797 \cdot 10^{4} & 4.1918 \cdot 10^{4} & 1.9839 \cdot 10^{3} & -7.8674 \cdot 10^{2} \\
3.7731 \cdot 10^{-2} & -8.2197 \cdot 10^{-3} & -1.1041 & -1.2542 \cdot 10^{-2} \\
2.9716 \cdot 10^{-2} & -2.2666 \cdot 10^{-3} & -0.79993 & 0.41642
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $L_{2}=D^{*} U_{a}\left|\Lambda_{a}\right|^{1 / 2}$ and $J+N=U_{a}\left|\Lambda_{a}\right| J U_{a}^{*}$ is the eigenvalue decomposition of $J+N$. We have $L_{1}=L_{2} W$, where $W^{*} J W=J$ and $\|W\|=1.029$.

For the matrices $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ which diagonalize the pairs $\left(L_{1} J L_{1}^{*}\right)$ and $\left(L_{2} J L_{2}^{*}\right)$ as in (7) we have

$$
\kappa\left(V_{1}\right) \approx 1, \quad \kappa\left(V_{2}\right)=1.059
$$

respectively. For the matrix $\delta H$ defined by (12) we have

$$
\left\|L_{1}^{-1} \delta H L_{1}^{-*}\right\|=0.0498, \quad\left\|L_{2}^{-1} \delta H L_{2}^{-*}\right\|=0.0475
$$

Therefore, Theorems 2 and 3 bound well the relative perturbation

$$
\max \left\{\frac{\left|\lambda_{1}(H)-\lambda_{1}(M)\right|}{\left|\lambda_{1}(H)\right|}, \frac{\left|\lambda_{3}(H)-\lambda_{2}(M)\right|}{\left|\lambda_{3}(H)\right|}\right\}=0.0015 .
$$

Finally, Theorems 4, 5 and 7 bound equally well the relative perturbation

$$
\sqrt{\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}(H)-\lambda_{1}(M)\right)^{2}}{\left|\lambda_{1}(H) \lambda_{1}(M)\right|}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{3}(H)-\lambda_{2}(M)\right)^{2}}{\left|\lambda_{3}(H) \lambda_{2}(M)\right|}}=0.0015 .
$$
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